

Received Date:-12-Sep-2014

Revised Date:-01-May-2015

Accepted Date:-10-May-2015

Article Type:- Research Review

Terrestrial and Marine Perspectives on Modeling Organic Matter Degradation Pathways

Adrian B. Burd, Department of Marine Sciences, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602,
USA (adrianb@uga.edu)

Serita Frey, Department of Natural Resources & the Environment, University of New
Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA (serita.frey@unh.edu)

Anna Cabre, Department of Earth & Sciences, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA
19104, USA (cabre@sas.upenn.edu)

Takamitsu Ito, School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology,
Atlanta, GA 30332, USA (taka.ito@eas.gatech.edu)

Naomi M. Levine, Department of Biology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles,
California 90089, USA (n.levine@usc.edu)

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/gcb.12987

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Christian Lønborg, Australian Institute of Marine Science, PMB 3, Townsville MC, QLD 4810,
Australia (clonborg@gmail.com)

Matthew Long, Climate and Global Dynamics Division, National Center for Atmospheric
Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA (mclong@ucar.edu)

Marguerite Mauritz, Center for Ecosystem Science and Society, Department of Biological
Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA
(mauritzmarguerite@gmail.com)

R. Quinn Thomas, Department of Forest Resources and Environmental Conservation,
Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, 24061, USA (rqthomas@vt.edu)

Brandon M. Stephens, Geosciences Research Division, Scripps Institution of Oceanography,
University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA (bmstephe@ucsd.edu)

Tom Vanwallegem, Department of Agronomy, University of Cordoba, Spain
(ag2vavat@uco.es)

Ning Zeng, Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science, University of Maryland, College
Park, MD 20742, USA (zeng@atmos.umd.edu)

Running head: Modeling Organic Matter Degradation

Abstract

Organic matter (OM) plays a major role in both terrestrial and oceanic biogeochemical cycles. The amount of carbon stored in these systems is far greater than that of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the atmosphere, and annual fluxes of CO₂ from these pools to the atmosphere exceed those from fossil fuel combustion. Understanding the processes that determine the fate of detrital material is important for predicting the effects that climate

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

change will have on feedbacks to the global carbon cycle. However, Earth System Models (ESMs) typically utilize very simple formulations of processes affecting the mineralization and storage of detrital OM. Recent changes in our view of the nature of this material and the factors controlling its transformation have yet to find their way into models. In this review, we highlight the current understanding of the role and cycling of detrital OM in terrestrial and marine systems and examine how this pool of material is represented in ESMs. We include a discussion of the different mineralization pathways available as organic matter moves from soils, through inland waters to coastal systems and ultimately into open ocean environments. We argue that there is strong commonality between aspects of OM transformation in both terrestrial and marine systems, and that our respective scientific communities would benefit from closer collaboration.

Introduction

Anthropogenic release of CO₂ to the atmosphere perturbs the global carbon (C) cycle and alters feedbacks between components of the global climate system, such as the production and decomposition of organic matter (OM). Annual global production of organic carbon (OC) through photosynthesis is divided almost equally between terrestrial and oceanic ecosystems (Table 1) with about 56% of this material entering the detrital OC pool (Cebrian & Duarte, 1995). Globally, detrital OC pools are larger than (soil OC) or comparable to (oceanic OC) the atmospheric CO₂ reservoir (Table 1). Respiration of detrital OC from terrestrial soils (60 – 75 Pg C y⁻¹) is comparable to that from the world's oceans (70 – 80 Pg C y⁻¹), with both being approximately an order of magnitude larger than fossil fuel emissions (Ciais et al., 2013). The size of these detrital pools and magnitude of the fluxes makes it critical to develop a mechanistic understanding of the processes regulating them, so as to accurately predict how the large natural flux of C to the atmosphere will be altered under a changing climate.

Decomposition (mineralization) of detrital pools in both marine and terrestrial systems is regulated by a complex interplay between physical and biological mechanisms (Box 1). Accurate representation of these processes and feedbacks runs afoul of the tension between developing comprehensive models on the one hand, and the availability of computational resources and observational constraints on the other. The accuracy of Earth System Models (ESMs) used to simulate the coupling between physical and biogeochemical processes in the climate system depends partly on understanding and quantifying the mineralization

Accepted Article

processes transforming non-living OM to CO₂, and the feedbacks between these processes and the atmosphere (Ciais et al., 2013). Current ESMs provide reasonable estimates of global annual primary production, though with considerable scatter, but have difficulties accurately predicting spatial and temporal patterns of OC storage and mineralization (Duteil et al., 2012; Anav et al., 2013; Bopp et al., 2013; Moore et al., 2013; Todd-Brown et al., 2013; Cabré et al., 2014).

Recent developments in our understanding of detrital OM, as well as advances in microbiology and model development, provide the opportunity to improve the representation of mineralization processes within ESMs. Here we review the physical, chemical, and biological processes that result in the degradation and oxidation of detrital OC both in terrestrial soils and the marine environment, concentrating on how these processes are currently represented in models. We devote attention to bridging the distinct methodological and linguistic differences that separate the terrestrial and marine scientific communities. We highlight areas of similarity and difference, areas where each community can learn from the other, and we make recommendations on fruitful approaches that can be drawn from either community.

Sources & Composition of Detrital Organic Matter

Detrital C in the biosphere exists in a variety of chemical and physical forms (Table 1), with a multitude of pathways transforming material between them and atmospheric C pools (Fig. 1). Operationally, OC can be divided into dissolved (DOC) and particulate (POC) pools (Hedges, 2002), but differences in terminology and methodology make direct comparison of terrestrial and oceanic OC pools difficult (See Box 1). Consequently, the OC pools represented in models differ between the terrestrial and marine communities.

Photosynthetic organisms provide the OC inputs to both terrestrial soils and marine systems (Fig. 1). Primary production in terrestrial systems is mainly conducted by relatively long-lived, multi-cellular plants, with litter, roots, and root-zone exudates providing the main OC inputs to soils. Microbial byproducts (exudates and necromass), produced during the decomposition of plant materials represent a substantial portion of the OM stored in soils (Schmidt et al., 2011) and are an important C source for mineralization by soil

Accepted Article

microorganisms. In contrast, primary production in marine systems is dominated by single celled organisms (phytoplankton), leading to a faster turnover time of biomass than seen in terrestrial systems (~days as opposed to weeks, months or years) (Trumbore, 2009; Hansell, 2013). Organic C inputs to the marine environment mainly include cells and their exudates, and material transformed by heterotrophic organisms (e.g. zooplankton fecal pellets, discarded animal feeding structures etc. (Nagata, 2000)).

Chemically, OC in both systems ranges in size and complexity from simple monomers to mixtures of large biopolymers and humics (Hedges and Oades, 1997; Hedges et al., 2000). These compounds are found at various stages of degradation, from recently-deposited, readily available OC with fast turnover times (seconds to years) to highly processed material bearing little resemblance to the compounds from which it was derived, having turnover times of decades to millennia (Baldock et al., 2004; Trumbore, 2009; Hansell, 2013). Understanding the extent to which chemical structure determines the stability of OC is vital for calculating C storage dynamics both on land and in the oceans (Baldock et al., 2004).

The interplay between abiotic (e.g., aggregation) and biotic processes can differ in the two environments and fundamentally affect degradation and mineralization rates. Most ESMs divide OC pools based on chemical lability, even though this understanding of recalcitrance is currently being re-examined (Stockmann et al., 2013). The historical view, in terrestrial systems at least, was that the molecular structure of organic materials was a primary control on the formation and stabilization of soil OM (Marín-Spiotta, 2014). However, new observations demonstrate that physicochemical and biotic factors, rather than chemical recalcitrance, are the predominant controls on C cycling in soils (Schmidt et al., 2011). While this emerging view is starting to be incorporated into ecosystem models (Wieder et al., 2014), that is not yet the case for current Earth system models (Table 2), though it is built in to some recent ecosystem models (Wieder et al., 2014).

The chemical composition of OC is broadly classified in terms of polysaccharide, protein, lipid/aliphatic and lignin compounds (Kögel-Knabner, 2002; Whitehead et al. 2008). However, the composition of OM derived from primary production differs substantially between terrestrial and marine ecosystems, with terrestrial OM inputs containing a larger

fraction of carbohydrate-rich structural components, whereas marine OM contains more protein-rich material (Nelson & Baldock, 2005; Arrigo, 2005; Whitehead et al., 2008). Primary producers in both ecosystems are enriched in labile sugars, starches and proteins, the majority of which are preferentially mineralized by microorganisms (Benner, 2002; Grandy & Neff, 2008). In marine environments, sinking OM is enriched in amino acids and polyunsaturated fatty acids relative to suspended OM and tends to be rapidly degraded in the water column (Benner, 2002; Wakeham et al., 1997).

Degradation rates of organic compounds in terrestrial and marine systems decrease with depth and time and are controlled by the interplay between the OC biochemical composition and factors such as temperature, physical accessibility, microbial community structure, and nutrient availability (Heal et al., 1997; Jobbagy & Jackson, 2000; Kujawinski, 2011, Dungait et al., 2012). The degradation pattern of detrital OC is often described in models using first order kinetics. However, the OC pool consists of many distinct molecular species, the majority of which are uncharacterized and which degrade at different rates (Boudreau and Ruddick, 1991, Vähätalo et al, 2010). Although residence times of OC vary, the average residence times, determined by radiocarbon dating, of the largest OC pools (deep soil OM, marine sediments, deep oceanic DOC) are all on the scale of thousands of years (Bauer et al., 1992; Schmidt et al., 2011), suggesting similarities in the factors controlling OC turnover on those scales, though these time scales are averages, combining compounds with residence times of minutes to those with degradation timescales of thousands of years.

Mineralization: Processes and Transformations

Radiocarbon dating of OC in soils and oceans shows that, in general, the age of OC increases with depth. The average radiocarbon age of deep ocean DOC in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans ranges from 4000 – 6000 years (Bauer et al., 1992), suggesting that the majority of DOC in the oceans takes on a truly recalcitrant chemical nature (Kujawinski, 2011). Similarly, ages of the deep, stable component of soils varies from a few centuries in tropical soils to up to 3000 years in temperate soils (Hsieh, 1996). Microbes rapidly degrade labile OC in both soils and marine systems, altering the chemical composition of the OC and

leaving a mixture of less labile material and microbial-derived compounds, the proportions of which increase with OC age and with depth (Grandy & Neff, 2008; Jiao et al, 2010). For example, microbes produce polysaccharides that, in soils can persist for decades (Kiem & Kögel-Knabner, 2003; Schmidt et al., 2011). In the oceans, the proportion of carbohydrates in DOC decreases with depth indicating that these dissolved sugars can serve as an important substrate for microbes in the deep ocean, albeit at very low rates (Kaiser & Benner, 2012). Further, studies of deep ocean DOM suggest the predominant biomolecular structure to be predominantly microbial derived carboxylic-rich alicyclic molecules (Hertkorn et al., 2006).

Whereas there is some evidence that the dominant process stabilizing DOM in the deep ocean is alteration into a chemically recalcitrant form, there is increasing evidence that chemical recalcitrance is not the primary process that stabilizes C on long time scales in soils (Marschner et al., 2008; Schmidt, et al., 2011). If chemical recalcitrance does not provide stability to OC in either system, then changes in biotic communities and climate conditions may make long-lived C pools vulnerable to degradation and thus result in climate feedbacks. This is currently hypothesized to have occurred during an Eocene global warming event where otherwise recalcitrant pools of marine DOC were mineralized, releasing CO₂ into the atmosphere and raising global temperatures (Sexton et al., 2011).

Soil OC (SOC) is more likely to be stabilized by binding to mineral complexes (Kögel-Knabner et al., 2008) or by being physically protected within aggregates, and if climatic conditions (Schmidt et al., 2011) or energy limitation of the microbial community (Ekschmitt et al., 2005; Fontaine et al., 2007) permits, even old SOC can turn over rapidly regardless of presumed chemical recalcitrance (Marín-Spiotta et al, 2014). Soil aggregates, comprised primarily of mineral particles, provide regions of physical protection for OM and areas of OM binding to mineral surfaces (Fig. 1). This has led to the suggestion that degradation of OM in soils might be better viewed as a “logistical problem” involving microbial ecology, diffusion limitations, enzyme kinetics, environmental factors, and physical protection (Kleber, 2010; Stockmann et al., 2013). Moreover, soil aggregates exert an important control on lateral OC fluxes through their role in selective transport by water

erosion. The role of aggregates in marine systems is slightly different, but can also be viewed in terms of reducing the exposure of OC to heterotrophic microbial communities. In contrast to soil aggregates, marine aggregates are primarily composed of biological components (e.g. algal cells, fecal pellets etc.) brought together through physical aggregation, by the formation of fecal pellets, or through being trapped on discarded feeding structures. The effect of aggregation is to increase the settling velocity of the individual particles caught up in the aggregate, allowing it to travel deeper into the ocean without being mineralized. Calcium carbonate and biogenic silica, parts of many algal cells, may also provide physical protection (Hedges et al., 2001; Armstrong et al., 2002). As with soil systems, the mineralization of this material now becomes a logistical problem involving the rates at which organisms can find and process these sinking particles (Herndl and Reinthaler, 2013). The stability of deep ocean DOC makes the partitioning of DOM into a surface-derived semi-labile OM pool, and a deep recalcitrant OM pool of particular interest (Hansell, 2013).

Environmental drivers can affect mineralization rates by either forming physical barriers to mineralization, or by affecting physical processes that help drive mineralization. In the marine environment, seasonal water column stratification and/or horizontal and vertical transport away from regions of origin can alter mineralization times of oceanic OM (e.g. Carlson et al., 1994), as can macronutrient availability and microbial community composition (Kujawinski, 2011). In the deep ocean where OM concentrations are generally low, diffusion limitation has also been suggested to limit OM mineralization (Kattner et al., 2011). Diffusion limitation of C within soils has also been suggested as a mechanism to explain changes in SOC mineralization when dry soils are wetted (Xiang et al., 2008).

Photodegradation also contributes to OC decomposition in marine and terrestrial ecosystems, though it may be more important in the former because of the greater depth of light penetration. Sunlight alters the rate of detrital OC degradation directly by oxidizing it to inorganic forms (e.g. CO₂) and indirectly by producing free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS) changing degrader and primary producer communities, and altering detrital OC chemical composition (Rozema et al., 1997; Searles et al., 2001; Xue et al., 2005; King et

Accepted Article

al., 2012). The best-documented effects come from aquatic ecosystems, where there are clearly documented differences in the rates of photo-oxidation of aquatically-derived OM versus terrestrially derived OM (Obernosterer and Benner, 2004). As UV penetrates through the water column, it produces free radicals and ROS that destabilize OC and can increase decomposition rates (Sepp et al., 2011). Organic carbon compounds capable of absorbing UV wavelengths, such as chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM), are bleached and degraded, providing a large influx of bioavailable OC to microbial decomposers (Sepp et al., 2011). Sunlight can also induce biological polymerization and condensation of OM into recalcitrant materials (Harvey et al., 1983, Mopper et al, 2015) and decrease microbial decomposition by competing for substrates and causing oxidative stress (Scully et al., 2003; Lesser, 2006).

In marine systems, direct photo-oxidation of OC to inorganic C produces an estimated 12-16 Gt CO₂ annually, and indirect photo-oxidation produces an additional 1 Gt C available to microbial decomposition (Moran & Zepp, 1997). No global estimates exist for terrestrial ecosystems, but recent work suggests that similar effects of UV radiation account for substantial OC losses from leaf litter (King et al., 2012) and soil (Rutledge et al., 2010).

Representing Respiration/Mineralization in Global Models

The mineralization of OM is a critical component in the exchange of CO₂ between the atmosphere, the land, and oceans. Consequently, being able to accurately model the pools of detrital OC and the processes affecting them is important for predictions of the global C cycle and Earth's climate. In general, current ESMs are able to reasonably reproduce global and, to a lesser extent, hemispheric patterns of atmosphere-land and atmosphere-ocean CO₂ exchange (Anav et al., 2013). However, the ability of these models to accurately represent the global distribution of existing OC stocks is highly variable, and this variability could influence projections of future carbon-climate feedbacks (Todd-Brown et al., 2013). For example, SOC stocks predicted by the latest Climate Model Inter-comparison Project (CMIP5) ranged from 510 to 3040 Pg C globally and from 60 to 340 Pg C in circumpolar regions. In comparison, current SOC stocks based on soil databases are estimated to be

1260 Pg C globally with an additional 500 Pg C in circumpolar permafrost soils (Todd-Brown et al., 2013).

Generally, climate models report C fluxes for the ocean realms rather than stocks. The variability in CMIP5 predictions for ocean-atmosphere CO₂ flux is smaller than for the corresponding land-atmosphere flux (Anav et al., 2013), which is due in part to the strong thermodynamic constraints of carbonate chemistry on CO₂ flux. Models diverge more in the context of simulated marine primary productivity and fluxes of OC into various detrital pools. Most CMIP5 models fail to reproduce the seasonality of ocean production (Anav et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2014), and underestimate oceanic primary production. Primary production is usually parameterized as a multiplicative function of limiting factors, including temperature, light, and a limiting nutrient. The most advanced ecological routines include multiple nutrient limitations (nitrate, phosphate, and iron) which typically improve primary production estimates (e.g. Moore et al 2002b, Cabré et al, 2014). Some models separate phytoplankton into multiple size types, usually small and large, affecting the patterns and the magnitude of primary production and export of OM out of the euphotic layer, as large phytoplankton sink faster and are more likely to form aggregates than small phytoplankton (Cabré et al., 2014).

The differences between terrestrial and marine model results and observations can be traced in part to how OM pools and degradation processes are represented in ESMs (e.g. Moore et al., 2013; Cabré et al, 2014). Typically, only very coarse classifications of OC pools are used, with processes being parameterized using semi-empirical relationships rather than being explicitly represented.

Mineralization rates used in models are at best functions of temperature, soil moisture content (terrestrial), and oxygen content (marine), and at worst a constant. For example, two widely used soil OC models, CENTURY and RothC, partition OC into discrete pools based on soil properties and predefined turnover times (Dungait et al., 2012) (Table 2): a fast, active pool that includes live microbial biomass and rapidly (1-5 year turnover) degrading soil OC, a slow pool that is chemically or physically protected (20-40 year turnover time), and a passive OC pool that is stable on longer time scales (200-1500 years)

(Parton et al., 1987). Marine models typically divide detrital OM into dissolved and particulate pools (POC), though some consider only the particulate pool (Table 2), and some models subdivide these pools according to their lability, assigning each sub-pool a fixed mineralization rate. Most CMIP5 marine biogeochemical models use a constant POC mineralization rate combined with a POC sinking speed that is either constant or increases with depth (Table 2). In the former case, the flux of POC decreases with depth exponentially, whereas if sinking speed increases linearly with depth, POC flux decreases according to a power law (Kriest and Oschlies, 2008). Both simple exponential and power-law functions have been used to fit observed changes in POC flux with depth, though more sophisticated functions combination of these have also been used (Marsay et al., 2014). For example, CESM1(BGC) uses two classes of sinking POC based on the commonly used ballast model (Armstrong et al., 2002): a rapidly sinking class associated with mineral ballast (e.g. diatom silicate frustules) that mineralizes deeper in the water column, and non-mineral associated OC that mineralizes at shallower depths. The depth of mineralization is a key control on oceanic C sequestration, as deeper water masses tend to be out of contact with the atmosphere for longer timescales. For example, the commonly used exponential and power laws translate into different mineralization depths.

With such a limited number of detrital OM pools, it goes without saying that the chemical complexity of this material is not well represented in models. Many marine biogeochemical models follow a single element (usually nitrogen or phosphorus) and use stoichiometric ratios (atomic ratios of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus) to calculate corresponding changes in carbon and nitrogen. Particulate organic matter in the global ocean has an average C:N:P ratio of 106:16:1, though many use a revised ratio of 117:16:1 (Anderson & Sarmiento, 1994). A corresponding benchmark ratio is less applicable to terrestrial ecosystems because terrestrial POM has a larger component of C-rich structural compounds (e.g. lignin) and a significantly wider range of nutrient ratios (Sturner & Elser, 2002). However, DOM is similarly depleted in nitrogen and phosphorus in both terrestrial and marine systems, suggesting a greater similarity in chemical composition than for POM (Neff et al., 2000; Kaiser & Benner, 2012; Lønborg & Álvarez-Salgado, 2012). Although initial C:N:P ratios of OM can explain >80% of the variance in mineralization rates (Coleman et al., 1983; Enriquez et al., 1993), using constant stoichiometric ratios in models is problematic

because the ratios change due to preferential mineralization (Paulmeier et al., 2000). In marine systems, mineralization tends to increase C:N:P ratios of POM, whereas the opposite tends to occur in terrestrial systems (Blair, 1988; Adams & Angradi, 1996; Badcock et al., 2004).

Accurately modeling how rates of OM degradation might change under future climate scenarios is crucial to making accurate predictions of the global C cycle. Models of SOC typically employ degradation rates based on temperature sensitivity (using a single temperature factor Q_{10} ; the increase in a rate following a 10°C rise in temperature) and soil moisture (Todd-Brown et al., 2013). It is unclear whether using a single global Q_{10} value is realistic, although recent evidence suggests a global convergence on $Q_{10}=1.4$ for terrestrial ecosystems (Mahecha et al., 2010). Despite the potential convergence of temperature sensitivity, we have an incomplete understanding of the conditions under which temperature is the main rate-limiting factor, and how enzyme kinetics, microbial community dynamics, and SOC stabilization respond to temperature (Giardina & Ryan, 2000; Davidson et al., 2006; Isse & Moorcroft, 2006). In addition, the first order degradation functions that represent temperature responses and enzyme kinetics are only realistic when substrates are highly abundant, a condition that is rare in nature (Schimel & Weintraub, 2003).

In contrast, not all existing global ocean C cycle models incorporate temperature dependent mineralization rates (Table 2). Temperature effects have been shown to produce a 3.5-fold decrease in C-specific respiration rates when changing from average surface (15°C) to deep ocean (4°C) temperatures (Iversen & Ploug, 2013). Incorporating temperature dependent degradation rates into the Max Planck Institut Earth System Model (MPI-ESM) using $Q_{10} = 2$ produced a modest change in C export from the surface to the deep ocean, but shifted nutrient and primary production distributions (Taucher & Oschlies, 2011). Dissolved oxygen concentrations in the oceans are predicted to change under a warming climate, and this will affect heterotrophic processes mineralization regimes in low oxygen zones, as most models shift from oxic to anoxic mineralization at a given low oxygen threshold (Maier-Reimer et al., 2005) (Table 2).

For the marine components of ESMs it is important to accurately predict depth-dependent mineralization rates for sinking OC (Moore et al., 2006), which can affect atmospheric CO₂

Accepted Article

concentrations (Kwon et al., 2009). For example, the CESM1(BGC) overestimates the amount of mineralization in the mesopelagic resulting in underestimates of OM fluxes to the deep ocean, affecting the profiles of dissolved inorganic C, and contributes to larger than observed oxygen minimum zones (Moore et al., 2013). Uncertainties in the ventilation rates of thermocline and deep waters can also alter retention of biologically sequestered C and the degree of oxygen depletion. Due to these uncertainties, the CMIP5 marine biogeochemical models show little agreement in terms of their oxygen content and biologically sequestered nutrients, even though total nutrient inventories are relatively consistent with observations (Duteil et al., 2012; Bopp et al., 2013).

Depth dependence has also been shown to be important in models of soil biogeochemistry (Koven et al., 2013). Although soil models include a vertically resolved submodel for the transport of heat and moisture in soils, soil biogeochemistry is usually dealt with using a single layer box model and a fixed profile of SOM. The assumption in this approach is that SOM in deep layers of the soils plays a negligible role in C cycling. However, this assumption might not be correct. Subsurface soils can contain large SOM pools (Baisden and Parfitt, 2007) and deep-rooting plants can input C and N deep into the soils. Results from a global model with depth-resolved soil biogeochemistry with more realistic twentieth century C dynamics compared well with site-level comparisons of soil radiocarbon profiles (Koven et al., 2013).

Mineralization from terrestrial to marine systems

Terrestrially derived OC can be mineralized within the soil where it is produced and deposited, or “downstream” within streams, rivers, lakes, and ultimately, the oceans (Figure 2). However, models and C budgets often treat the fate of this material differently. For example to calculate the land C sink, the sum of the ocean uptake and atmospheric CO₂ increase is subtracted from the sum of fossil fuel and land use emissions and combines the terrestrial and inland water C sinks (e.g. Le Quéré et al., 2014). Carbon sinks inferred from atmospheric inversion methods represent processes on continental scales (Peylin et al., 2013) and also combine terrestrial and inland water C sinks. ESMs also implicitly combine terrestrial and inland water sinks. However, global budgets that are able to include more

processes use a variety of methods to explicitly separate out the terrestrial and inland water C sinks (e.g. Ciais et al., 2013).

Approximately 1.9 Pg C yr⁻¹ of total soil C finds its way into inland waters where it is transformed, stored, or transported downstream such that only ~0.9 Pg C yr⁻¹ is input into the coastal ocean (Battin et al., 2008; Regnier et al., 2013; Bauer et al., 2013). Riverine inputs of terrestrial OC into the coastal ocean amount to approximately half of the total C input (0.45 Pg C yr⁻¹) (Bauer et al., 2013), with approximately 60% in the form of DOC (Cowan et al., 2002). Thus, inland waters not only transport terrestrially derived OM, but also allow additional transformation and storage along the way (Battin et al., 2008; Bianchi 2011).

Several factors impact the transport of soil OC to inland waters, including basin slope and temperature (Ludwig et al, 1996), so that the amount of soil-derived OC entering inland waters is spatially and temporally variable. However exact amounts and precise mechanisms through which these transformations occur are not fully understood (Seitzinger et al., 2010; Laudon et al, 2012). A comprehensive study of boreal lakes and rivers in Sweden estimates that a minimum of 0.03–0.87% of the surface SOC pool is exported annually into Sweden’s lakes and rivers (Weyhenmeyer et al, 2012). Other studies have indicated that DOC concentrations are generally increasing over time in inland waters, and whilst the mechanisms leading to this increase remain unknown, there is general consensus that catchment soils are a major contribution (Evans et al., 2005; Roulet and Moore, 2006; Monteith et al., 2007). Erosion can play a significant role, particularly during extreme precipitation events that act to physically disrupt soil aggregates and increase the bioavailability of protected OC (Hilton et al., 2008; Yoon and Raymond, 2012). Microbial communities within streams and rivers also impact the transport of soil derived OC through mineralization with input of terrestrial OC sustaining conditions of net heterotrophy and CO₂ supersaturation found in most inland waters (Raymond et al., 2013).

Lakes, ponds, and impoundments are efficient at retaining sediments, allowing for burial of OC. In this sense, lakes act more like the oceans in that the vertical dimension is important for understanding the cycling of OC. Most lakes are small and shallow (Downing et al., 2006) so that sediments are in contact with a well-mixed water column, conditions that favour

mineralization of OC over burial in the sediments. Globally, CO₂ emissions from lakes could be as high as 0.53 Pg C yr⁻¹ (Tranvik et al., 2009) and lake sediments store an estimated 820 Pg of OC, with estimates of global storage rates ranging from 0.03 to 0.07 Pg C yr⁻¹ (Tranvik et al., 2009), which can be compared with 0.2 Pg C yr⁻¹ for burial in marine sediments (Ciais et al., 2013). As in the oceans, flocculation can be important in boreal lakes where sedimentation fluxes of DOC through flocculation can be similar to the flux of outgassing CO₂ (Wachenfeldt and Tranvik, 2008). A study of 20 lakes in the English Lake District indicates that most of the CO₂ evaded from these lakes arrives from streams and rivers, with 1.2–2.2% of net primary production being lost to the atmosphere (Maberly et al., 2012). This suggests that changes in land use practices that affect the mobilization of SOM can affect regional C budgets.

Lateral transport of OC is important in streams and rivers, and can be thought of as taking on the role of vertical transport in soils and the oceans. Soil OM finds its way into inland waters in both dissolved and particulate forms and transport rates differ for these two components. Dissolved OC will be advected by the water flow and acted upon by microbial communities within these waters. Particulate OC will also be affected by the ability to gravitationally settle (Hu and Kuhn, 2014). There are several consequences of this. Firstly, large particles will be deposited close to the region where they were mobilized and this can have consequences for models. Smaller particles will also settle, but can be readily resuspended, potentially altering the residence time of OC in the system. Stream microbial communities have been observed to change as one moves downstream, adapting to the changing chemical composition of the organic material present (Winter et al, 2007; Battin et al., 2008). Similar gradients with depth are seen in the ocean, even among ecotypes of the same organism. For example, deep-water ecotypes of *Alteromonas macleodii* show greater adaptation for living on large particles and degrading more recalcitrant compounds than shallow-water ecotypes (e.g. Ivars-Martinez et al., 2008).

Estimates of global CO₂ outgassing from streams and rivers vary from 0.5 – 1.8 Pg C yr⁻¹ (Cole et al., 2007; Battin et al., 2009; Aufdenkampe et al., 2011; Raymond et al, 2013), with more recent higher values arising from larger estimates of the role of temperate rivers and streams (Raymond et al., 2013). Outgassing of CO₂ from the rivers and wetlands of the Amazon river basin alone has been estimated at 0.5 Pg C yr⁻¹, an order of magnitude greater

than export of OC by the Amazon to the oceans (Richey et al., 2002). The precise contribution that respiration of soil OM makes to riverine outgassing of CO₂ remains highly uncertain; however, the higher rates found in low order streams suggests that the contribution of terrestrial SOM could be significant (Raymond et al, 2013). For rivers comprising the Amazon River basin, export of DOC from soils into rivers accounts for 15% of the C outgassed by the rivers (Richey et al, 2002), and 80% of the CO₂ outgassed is of terrestrial origin.

Another change to the potential for mineralization of soil OM in inland waters is the increased propensity for photochemical oxidation which in some lakes has been shown to exceed the microbial mineralization of soil-derived OC (Cory et al. 2014). Over the last decade, it has become evident that the lateral input of soil DOC into inland waters has increased (e.g. Evans et al. 2005; Monteith et al. 2007). In addition, the coloured component of this DOC is selectively lost as this material is transported to the coasts such that longer residence times (e.g. slower running rivers) result in a greater loss (Weyhenmeyer et al, 2012).

Inland waters transport terrestrially derived OC to coastal waters with approximately 0.45 Pg C yr⁻¹ of total OC being carried by rivers into estuaries, along with an approximately equal amount of inorganic C (Bauer et al., 2013). This carbon combines with that produced by the highly productive tidal wetlands, and is respired (about 0.35 Pg C yr⁻¹ from the combined tidal wetlands and estuaries). Approximately 0.5 PgC yr⁻¹ is transported from estuaries onto the coastal shelf and approximately 0.3 Pg yr⁻¹ of OC and 0.15 Pg yr⁻¹ of inorganic C is then deposited in coastal sediments (estuaries and shelf) (Reigner et al. 2013). Part of this is the result of the increased ionic strength of seawater allowing for rapid aggregation and sinking of clays minerals and the OC associated with them (e.g. Dyer 1985). Some is the result of exchange with tidal wetlands where vegetation increases the trapping efficiency of particulate material. This re-deployment of terrestrial-derived POC means that this C sees different environments where differences in mineralization processes can affect CO₂ and methane production.

Coastal systems are dynamic and complex environments with water salinity varying from fresh, through brackish, to saline. At the high salinity end, salt marshes and mangrove

swamps sequester at least 44.6 Tg C yr⁻¹ globally (Chmura et al., 2003). Carbon sequestration in these systems is highly efficient; the high sedimentation rates and high soil C content of estuarine wetlands leads to a C sequestration rates that are 10-fold higher compared with other wetland ecosystem on an areal basis (Bridgham et al., 2006). Carbon sequestered in coastal vegetated system is frequently referred to as “blue carbon” (e.g. Mcleod et al., 2011).

Multiple physical and biological processes affect C mineralization in coastal marshes. In saltmarshes, oxygen is utilized quite rapidly in the surface sediments, so that most OM mineralization occurs under anoxic conditions (Howarth, 1993). Because of the high sulphate component in seawater, sulphate reduction is an important microbial process.

Bioturbation by plant roots and invertebrates (e.g. crabs) affects the pathways of OC mineralization in salt marsh sediments by stimulating iron-reducing bacteria (Kostka et al., 2002). Similarly, saltwater intrusion in tidal freshwater marshes stimulates microbial decomposition of OM, increasing rates of sulphate reduction and flux rates of CO₂ and methane out of the sediments (Weston et al., 2011). These results indicate that sea level rise will have a significant impact on coastal wetlands and their sequestration of C.

Based on limited available data, coastal wetlands are thought to be a net source of C to estuaries (Cai, 2011; Regnier et al. 2013; Bauer et al., 2013). This C either settles in the estuaries, or is exported to the coastal shelf region and the open ocean. Terrestrial-derived DOC occurs at surprisingly low concentrations in the open oceans, and the fate of this material is an area of active research. Photochemical reactions in the surface shelf waters can transform the aromatic fraction of terrestrial DOC into more stable components (Stubbins et al, 2010), and bacteria found in shelf waters are able to degrade lignins from terrestrial plants (Hernes et al., 2003). Such reaction pathways may help to explain the low terrestrial DOC concentrations and long marine DOC residence times.

Improving mineralization in ESMs.

Explicitly incorporating microbial populations and dynamics into ESMs could provide a significant improvement over current models. Traditional soil and marine models implicitly

include microbial populations and use first-order kinetics to represent OM mineralization (Table 2). This assumes that the microbes are effectively not responding or adapting to changes in their environment. However, in both systems, the efficiency of microbial processes have been shown to be impacted by climate (Allison et al., 2010; Frey et al., 2013) and microbial populations and functions change with depth in both the ocean and in soils (Fierer et al., 2003; Robinson et al., 2010). At small spatial and short temporal scales, explicit representation of microbial populations has improved predictions of OC cycling, but at larger scales complex models are often no more accurate than simple models, possibly because greater mechanistic accuracy is needed, rather than more parameters (Manzoni and Porporato, 2009). Yet, initial attempts to explicitly represent soil microbial processes in ESMs using Michaelis-Menton kinetics to represent their interactions with SOC, show greater agreement with actual observations of soil C pools than models without them (Wider et al., 2013). Explicitly incorporating microbial dynamics into ESMs will increase the complexity of these models. However, developments in modeling techniques may alleviate this issue. For example, trait-based models (Follows & Dutkiewicz, 2011; Allison 2012) may provide a common platform for the terrestrial and marine modeling communities. Results from novel, more computationally intensive techniques with a more focused scope may provide insights than can inform more traditional ESMs. For example, the rapid development of “omic” techniques seen in recent years could provide detailed genomic and transcriptomic information for single-cell models, the results from which could inform ESMs through new parameterizations.

In addition to uncertainties associated with process representation, global C models suffer from uncertainties arising from two major issues: (1) scaling and model resolution, and (2) the availability of representative measurements. The scaling issue has received considerable attention within the modeling community over the last decade. Model resolution is important because crucial processes occur at the local (e.g., soil respiration in response to hot spots and/or hot moments) to regional scales (e.g. ocean upwelling), that are typically not adequately represented in global models but can substantially impact global scale processes. For example, estuarine and coastal processes such as delivery and transformation of OC to the coastal shelves are not currently included in models. High resolution eddy resolving models do allow some of these processes to be captured (e.g. Bernard et al, 2011) for shelf systems, but estuarine processes require sub-grid parameterizations and scaling. In addition, these models cannot be run at the global scale and so cannot be used to address questions related to changes in climate. The opposite

problem can also arise when measurements made at the local scale, which are not representative at larger scales, are used to create global estimates. Resolving these scaling problems represents a key challenge for building accurate models for both terrestrial and marine fields.

The development, validation, and verification of models depends crucially on the availability of observational constraints. Conant et al (2011) suggested that models will be improved by focusing on mechanisms that distinguish how changes in microbial substrate use, enzyme efficiency, or adsorption-desorption dynamics underlie soil OC-temperature relationships. One of the biggest challenges for verifying the internal dynamics of soil OC models is the ability to deconstruct and test the mechanisms that produce certain model outputs (Six et al., 2002). Attempts to experimentally isolate soil OC fractions, which correspond to conceptual model fractions, have been unsuccessful, partly because the conceptual pools do not correspond to specific soil fractions (Six et al., 2002), and partly because isolation and fractionation methods are imprecise and often disrupt more than one stabilizing mechanism at once (von Lutzow et al., 2007). Similar arguments can also be made for marine biogeochemical models.

The terrestrial components of ESMs do not in general consider the lateral transport of SOM through inland waters to coastal systems. However, it is unclear at present if including such terms in ESMs would significantly affect model projections. For example, the latest IPCC report estimates the flux of OC from soils to inland water to be 1.7 Pg C yr^{-1} (Giais et al., 2013), which is approximately 3% of terrestrial net primary production. An increase or decrease in this flux by a factor of two would still be small compared to terrestrial respiration and emissions. However, estimates of anthropogenic perturbations suggest that the flux from soils to inland waters has increased by 0.8 Pg C yr^{-1} since pre-industrial times, almost doubling the natural flux (Regnier et al., 2013).

There is also a problem involved in neglecting lateral OC fluxes between systems. Currently, ESMs mineralize OC within soil. However, the factors affecting the rates and availability of OC mineralization vary between soils and aquatic environments. Thus, OC released from soils to aquatic systems could have different turnover times, affecting the overall feedback

to the atmosphere (Carvalhais et al., 2014). Further research is needed to fully understand the implications of how lateral transport of OC with ESMs is represented.

The spatial resolution of ESMs limits the processes that can be explicitly included in these models. This raises the problem of how to incorporate suitable parameterizations of these processes into global models. One possible approach might be to use parameterizations developed from more mechanistically detailed, but spatially restricted models. For example, there are many detailed models of soil OC mineralization (e.g. Manzoni and Poporato, 2009) which could be used to develop simpler parameterizations for ESMs. Similarly, reaction-diffusion models of OM decay in marine sediments that include physical protection by aggregates (e.g. Rothman and Forney, 2007) predict simple relationships for the OM decay rate that are consistent with sediment core data. Such models could be extended to water column and terrestrial OM providing a suite of relationships that can be used in ESMs.

A lack of global datasets causes problems in constraining and validating global models. This is especially a problem for models of soil and marine OM degradation. A recent global database of soil respiration (Bond-Lamberty & Thomson, 2010, 2012) showed a correlation between total soil respiration and heterotrophic soil respiration for multiple biomes, indicating a possible approach to improving model parameterizations. For oceans, similar databases (e.g. <http://www.socat.info/about.html>) have started to emerge, but these primarily focus on surface waters (often < 100 m depth) making it difficult to derive general conclusions. In addition, we were unable to find sufficient data to make global estimates of POC and DOC entering inland waters from soils. Measures of total OC exist (eg Ciais et al., 2013), and some estimates for a handful of lakes (e.g. Tranvik et al, 2009). Since we expect soil derived DOC and POC to have different fates, reliable estimates of both need to be obtained.

The relative merits of various methods used in terrestrial and marine science to measure aspects of the C cycle (e.g. storage and turnover) relevant for ESMs are still vigorously debated in the literature (e.g., Wienhold, 2007; Gasol et al., 2008; Burd et al., 2010). Use of field data allows realism and complex interactions to be kept intact, but is subject to confounding effects which may be hard to discern and account for. Laboratory experiments

on the other hand have the advantage of being able to isolate individual mechanisms and investigate simple cause-effect relationships, but realism may be sacrificed. Validation of ESMs requires information on two main variables derived from field and laboratory measurements: global C stocks and turnover rates, respectively. Global soil OC estimates derived from different data sources vary by a factor of two (Hiederer & Köchy, 2011) and ESM representation has proven to be highly variable (Todd-Brown et al., 2013). Similarly, reliable estimates of ocean C stocks and turnover times require accurate estimates of production and degradation, as well as the physical transport of POC and DOC from land to the sea and between the upper and deep ocean. Estimates of, for example, the amount of POC sinking out of the surface ocean vary from 4 Pg C y⁻¹ to more than 12 Pg C y⁻¹ (Boyd & Trull, 2007; Henson et al., 2012, Marsay et al., 2014). An increased understanding of these processes, in both terrestrial and marine systems, is essential to accurately predict the effects of future climate change.

Quantifying and understanding model performance is also a crucial area for development. In marine systems, efforts have been made to develop systematic and quantitative frameworks for assessing the skill of upper ocean biogeochemical models against field data and satellite observations (e.g. Doney et al., 2009). Similar efforts to arrive at a consistent benchmarking framework have been developed for terrestrial models (Randerson et al., 2009; Luo et al., 2012). Models can be compared not only with data values, but also with spatial and temporal patterns seen in observational data sets. However, one significant problem that arises with such efforts is the availability of sufficiently complete observational data and associated uncertainties. This means that the chosen data sets themselves have to be evaluated in the formulation of suitable benchmarks. Agreement of statistical measures suitable for benchmarking is also an ongoing problem. Commonly used metrics include model-data residuals, root-mean-square-error, time and space correlations, and Taylor diagrams; however, there is as yet no generally accepted choice of metric allowing different model performance to be compared (Luo et al. 2012). This is something that would benefit both terrestrial and marine modeling communities. Moreover, ESM results and observations show little correlation at scales of 100s of km as the models fail to capture specific mechanisms that create spatial complexity (Todd-Brown et al., 2013).

Given the uncertainties in global estimates, a major task for modelers, and observational and experimental scientists is to determine which estimates and variables are best used. This means that there needs to be an iterative dialog between communities on best-practices, determining which variables are best predicted by models for meaningful comparisons with data, and what data need to be collected for improving the models.

Conclusions & Recommendations

Organic matter degradation is a key process in both the terrestrial and marine components of ESMs. But, there is considerable concern that model projections are biased. Changing climate conditions are likely to alter multiple ecosystem components simultaneously. As primary production, nutrient inputs, ocean stratification, land management practices, etc. change, it is important that ESMs get OC dynamics right for the right reasons (Anav et al., 2013; Bopp et al., 2013; Moore et al., 2013; Todd-Brown et al., 2013). Although the physical environment differs between marine and terrestrial systems, the metabolic processes mediating OM degradation are similar. Moreover, similar challenges in modeling these processes are faced by both communities, making a dialogue between the two communities potentially fruitful. Some areas where we think dialogue would be particularly useful include: improving representations of particle aggregation (e.g. Burd, 2013) and its implications for altering OM degradation rates; understanding the sensitivity of degradation rates to environmental variables and heterotrophic community composition; better representation of heterotrophic microbial communities (perhaps through trait-based approaches) and degradation pathways; and parameterization and validation of models from scarce and highly variable data.

One key similarity between OC degradation in the marine and terrestrial systems is that decomposition is microbially mediated. A primary area of crossover, therefore, is to develop mechanistic representations of microbial physiology and ecology that determine degradation rates. Better microbial representations in models will rely on observations of metabolic processes and constraints. A robust model verification test bed should endeavor to confront model formulations with observations from field studies and experimental manipulations. In addition, there should be agreement between both terrestrial and marine modeling communities on best practices for benchmarking models. This should lead to the development of an accepted suite of quantitative metrics allowing for different models to be compared against a standard suite of data.

Acknowledgements

This article grew out of a multidisciplinary workshop “Key Uncertainties in the Global Carbon Cycle” in August 2013 organized by N. Levine, R.Q. Thomas, M. Long, G. McKinley, C. Deutsch, and A. Bracco and hosted by the National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA and sponsored by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Climate Variability and Predictability Research Program (US-CLIVAR), the U.S. National Science Foundation, Ocean Carbon Biogeochemistry (OCB), and the Carbon Cycle Interagency Working Group (CCIWG) (Thomas et al., 2014). The work behind this article was funded in part by NSF grant OCE0645485 (ABB).

Author Contribution

ABB and SDF conceived the manuscript and prepared Fig. 1,2 and Box 1. ABB led manuscript preparation. All authors contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

None of the authors have a conflict of interest with the material in this article.

Box 1: Organic Carbon Mineralization in Oceans and Soils.

Most organic carbon (OC) entering the surface ocean or soil is rapidly (~ hours – days or months) consumed and some of it respired by heterotrophic organisms. In soils this occurs primarily in the rooting zone (top 10 – 20 cm) and in the oceans within the surface mixed layer (top 10s of m). This rapid mineralization returns CO₂ back to the atmosphere quickly and is controlled in part by the composition of the organic matter inputs and environmental factors (e.g., temperature, soil moisture, soil texture/mineralogy).

A variable fraction of the organic matter input escapes rapid mineralization and is stabilized over long (years - centuries) time-scales, with important differences between soils and oceans due to their intrinsically different physical structure. For example, soils are a complex matrix of minerals, organic materials, and pore spaces variably filled with water and air. In contrast, the oceans are mainly water with the occasional particle. Mineralization occurs more or less in place in soil systems, with deep roots, water percolation, and

Accepted Article

bioturbation (e.g., earthworm activity) moving OC deeper down the soil profile. While the soil surface (top ~20 cm) has the highest OC concentrations and is considered the zone of greatest microbial activity, the subsurface contains significant OC stocks with turnover times of 100s to 1000s of years. Organic matter formation and stabilization in soils largely depends on processes that protect OC against decomposition, particularly aggregation and organo-mineral associations (Fig. 1).

In marine systems, organic material can undergo significant vertical and horizontal excursions. Particulate material sinks to the ocean floor and DOC can be transported to the deep ocean by convection and mixing, with both DOC and POC being carried on ocean currents. Ocean sequestration of carbon is partly determined by the depth at which OC is mineralized; OC mineralized in the surface mixed layer can be exchanged with the atmosphere within hours to days; whereas, it may take centuries to millennia for OC mineralized below 1000 m to return to the atmosphere. Organic C that reaches the sediment can be consumed and respired as CO₂ by organisms on the surface and within the sediments. So, for the oceans, long-term storage of OC depends on the circulation and motion of the water, the sinking speed of particulate material, and the protection and composition of the carbon.

Organic Matter: This refers to the sum of all relevant organic compounds.

Organic Carbon: This refers to just the carbon contained within the organic matter, whether it is in dissolved or particulate form.

Mineralization: The oxidation of organic C to inorganic C through heterotrophic consumption and respiration (**often termed “remineralization” in the aquatic/marine literature**).

Labile organic C: Organic C that is rapidly (hours to days) utilized by heterotrophic organisms.

Recalcitrant: Organic material that is resistant to consumption and mineralization, due to its chemical structure or physical/chemical protection.

Sequestration: Removal of C from exchange with the atmosphere for a predefined length of time (e.g. 100 years, 1000 years).

Soil organic matter (SOM): All organic matter in soils smaller than 2 mm, including dead plant material in various stages of decay, living microbial biomass, and microbial byproducts from decomposition.

Particulate organic matter (POM): Operationally defined in terrestrial systems as SOM retained on a 53 μm sieve after complete dispersion of the soil to disrupt aggregates and separate mineral particles. In marine systems, POM is typically considered the material retained on a filter with a pore size between 0.2 to 0.7 μm with care taken not to disrupt aggregates, and includes photosynthetic bacteria, particle attached bacteria etc.

Dissolved organic matter (DOM): In terrestrial systems, DOM is sampled either as soil solution collected by lysimeters installed in the soil profile or as a water extract of fresh soil samples. In both lysimeter-collected and extracted samples, solutions are typically passed through a filter (usually 0.7 μm) prior to analysis for DOC. In marine systems, DOM is defined as the material passing through a 0.2 to 0.7 μm pore size filter. This typically includes some bacteria and viruses, as well as colloids. In marine sediments, DOM is collected as pore water obtained from centrifugation of sediments.

References

- Adams MB, Angradi TR (1996) Decomposition and nutrient dynamics of hardwood leaf litter in the Fernow Whole-Watershed Acidification Experiment. *Forest Ecology Management*, **83**, 61–69.
- Allison SD (2012) A trait-based approach for modeling microbial litter decomposition. *Ecology Letters*, **15**, 1058–1070.
- Allison SD, Wallenstein MD, Bradford MA (2010) Soil-carbon response to warming dependent on microbial physiology. *Nature Geoscience*, **3**, 336–340.
- Anav A, Friedlingstein P, Kidston M *et al.* (2013) Evaluation the land and ocean components of the global carbon cycle in the CMIP5 Earth System Models. *Journal of Climate*, **26**, 6801–6843.

- Anderson LA, Sarmiento JL (1994) Redfield ratios of remineralization determined by nutrient data analysis. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **8**, 65–80.
- Armstrong RA, Lee C, Hedges JI, Honjo S, Wakeham SG (2002) A new, mechanistic model for organic carbon fluxes in the ocean based on the quantitative association of POC with mineral ballast. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **49**, 219–236.
- Arrigo KR (2005) Marine microorganisms and global nutrient cycles. *Nature*, **437**, 349–355.
- Aufdenkampe, AK, Mayorga E, Raymond PA, Melak JM, Doney SC, Alin SR, Aalto RE, Yoo K (2011) Riverine coupling of biogeochemical cycles between land, oceans, and atmosphere. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **9**, 53–60.
- Aumont O, Maier-Reimer E, Blain S, Monfray P (2003) An ecosystem model of the global ocean including Fe, Si, P colimitations. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **17**, 1060 doi:10.1029/2001GB001745.
- Baldock JA, Masiello CA, Gelinas Y, Hedges JI (2004) Cycling and composition of organic matter in terrestrial and marine ecosystems. *Marine Chemistry*, **92**, 39–64.
- Baisden WT, Parfitt RL (2007) Bomb C-14 enrichment indicates decadal C pool in deep soil? *Biogeochemistry*, **85**, 59–68.
- Bauer JE, Williams PM, Druffel ERM (1992) ^{14}C activity of dissolved organic carbon fractions in the north-central Pacific and Sargasso Sea. *Nature*, **357**, 667–670.
- Bauer JE, Cai WJ, Raymond PA, Bianchi TS, Hopkinson CS, Regnier P (2013) The changing carbon cycle of the coastal ocean. *Nature*, **504**, 61–70.
- Battin TJ, Kaplan LA, Findlay SEG, Hopkinson CS, Marti E, Packman AL, Newbold JD, Sabater FF (2008). Biophysical controls on organic carbon fluxes in fluvial networks. *Nature Geoscience*, **1**, 95–100.
- Battin, TJ, Luysaert, S, Kaplan LA, Aufdenkampe AK, Richter A, Tranvik LJ (2009). The boundless carbon cycle. *Nature Geoscience*, **2**, 598–600.
- Benner R (2002) Chemical composition and reactivity, p. 59–90. In: *Biogeochemistry of marine dissolved organic matter* (eds Hansell DA & Carlson CA). PP. 59–90, Academic Press, NY, USA.

- Bernard C, Dürr H, Heinze C, Segschneider J, Maeier-Reimer E (2011). Contribution of riverine nutrients to the silicon biogeochemistry of the global ocean — a model study. *Biogeosciences*, **8**, 551–564.
- Bianchi TS (2011) The role of terrestrially derived organic carbon in the coastal ocean: A changing paradigm and the priming effect. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **108**, 19473–19481.
- Blair JM (1988) Nitrogen, sulfur and phosphorus dynamics in decomposing deciduous leaf litter in the southern Appalachians. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **20**, 693–701.
- Bond-Lamberty B, Thomson A (2010) A global database of soil respiration data. *Biogeosciences*, **7**, 1915–1926.
- Bond-Lamberty B, Thomson A (2012) A global database of soil respiration data, version 2.0. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDACC/1170>
- Bopp L, Resplandy L, Orr JC *et al.* (2013) Multiple stressors of ocean ecosystems in the 21st century: projections with CMIP5 models. *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 6225–6245.
- Boudreau BP, Ruddick BP (1991) On a reactive continuum representation of organic matter diagenesis. *American Journal of Science*, **291**, 507–538.
- Boyd PW, Trull TW (2007) Understanding the export of marine biogenic particles: Is there consensus? *Progress in Oceanography*, **72**, 276–312.
- Bridgman SD, Megonigal PJ, Keller JK, Bliss NB, Trettin C (2006) The carbon balance of North American wetlands. *Wetlands*, **26**, 889–916.
- Burd AB (2013) Modeling particle aggregation using size class and size spectrum approaches. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **118**, 3431–3443.
- Burd, AB, Hansell DA, Steinberg DK, Anderson TR, Arístegui J, Baltar F, Beupré SR, Buesseler KO, DeHairs F, Jackson GA, Kadko DC, Kopplemann R, Lampitt RS, Nagata T, Reinthaler T, Robinson C, Robison BH, Tamurini C, Tanaka T (2010). Assessing the apparent imbalance between geochemical and biochemical indicators of meso- and bathypelagic biological activity: What the @\$#! is wrong with present calculations of carbon budgets? *Deep-Sea Research II*, **57**, 1557–1571.

- Cabré A, Marinov I, Leung S (2014) Consistent global responses of marine ecosystems to future climate change across the IPCC AR5 Earth System Models. (submitted to Climate Dynamics).
- Cai W-J (2011) Estuarine and coastal ocean carbon paradox: CO₂ sinks or sites of terrestrial carbon incineration? *Annual Review of Marine Science*, **3**, 123–145.
- Carlson CA, Ducklow HW, Michaels AF (1994) Annual flux of dissolved organic carbon from the euphotic zone in northwestern Sargasso Sea. *Nature*, **371**, 405–408.
- Carvalho N, Forkel M, Khomik M, Bellarby J, Jung M, Migliavacca M, Mu M, Saatchi S, Santoro M, Thurner M, Weber U, Ahrens B, Beer C, Cescatti A, Randerson JT, Reichstein M (2014) Global covariation of carbon turnover times with climate in terrestrial ecosystems. *Nature*, **514**, 213–217.
- Cebrian J, Duarte CM (1995) Plant growth-rate dependence of detrital C-storage in ecosystems. *Science*, **268**, 1606–1608.
- Chmura GL, Anisfeld SC, Cahoon DR, Lynch JC (2003) Global carbon sequestration in tidal, saline wetland soils. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **17**, 1111, doi:10.1029/2002GB001917.
- Ciais P, Sabine C, Bala G *et al.* (2013) Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (eds Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner GK, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V, Midgley PM), PP. 1–106. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Coleman DC, Reid CPP, Cole CV (1983) Biological strategies of nutrient cycling in soil ecosystems. *Advances in Environmental Research*, **13**, 1–55.
- Coleman K, Jenkinson DS (1999) ROTHC-26.3 *A model for the turnover of carbon in soil: Model description and Windows User Guide*. IARC Rothampsted, Harpenden, Herts, UK.
- Conant RT, Ryan MG, Agren GI *et al.* (2011) Temperature and soil organic matter decomposition rates - synthesis of current knowledge and a way forward. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 3392–3404.

- Cory RM, Ward CP, Crump BC, Kling GW (2014) Sunlight controls water column processing of carbon in arctic fresh waters. *Science*, **345**, 925–928.
- Cowan G (2002). DOM in the coastal zone, In *Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter*, DA Hansell and CA Carlson (eds), pp.579–602 (Academic Press, New York).
- Davidson EA, Janssens IA, Luo YQ (2006) On the variability of respiration in terrestrial ecosystems: moving beyond Q(10). *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 154–164.
- Doney SC, Lima I, Moore JK, Lindsay K, Behrenfeld MJ, Westberry TK, Mahowald , Glover DM, Takahashi T (2009). Skill metrics for confronting global upper ocean ecosystem-biogeochemistry models against field and remote sensing data. *Journal of Marine Systems*, **76**, 95–112.
- Downing JA, Prairie YT, Cole JJ, Duarte CM, Tranvik LJ, Striegl RG, McDowell WH, Korelainen P, Caraco NF, Melack JM, Middelburg JJ (2006) The global abundance and size distribution of lakes, ponds, and impoundments. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **51**, 2388–2397.
- Dungait JAJ, Hopkins DW, Gregory AS, Whitmore AP (2012) Soil organic matter turnover is governed by accessibility not recalcitrance. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 1781–1796.
- Dunne JP, Gnanadesikan A, Sarmiento JL, Slater RD (2010) Technical description of the prototype version (v0) of Tracers of Phytoplankton with Allometric Zooplankton (TOPAZ) ocean biogeochemical model as used in the Princeton IFMIP model. Supplement to doi:10.5194/bg-7-3593-2010.
- Duteil O, Koeve W, Oschlies A *et al.* (2012) Preformed and regenerated phosphate in ocean general circulation models: can right total concentrations be wrong? *Biogeosciences*, **9**, 1797–1807.
- Dyer KR (1985) *Coastal and Estuarine Sediment Dynamics* (Wiley, New York).
- Ekschmitt K, Liu MQ, Vetter S, Fox O, Wolters V (2005) Strategies used by soil biota to overcome soil organic matter stability — why is dead organic matter left over in the soil? *Geoderma*, **128**, 167–176.
- Enriquez S, Duarte CM, Sand-Jensen K (1993) Patterns in decomposition rates among photosynthetic organisms: the importance of detritus C:N:P content. *Oecologia*, **94**, 457–471.

- Evans CD, Monteith DT, Cooper DM (2005) Long-term increases in surface water dissolved organic carbon: Observations, possible causes and environmental impacts. *Environmental Pollution*, **137**, 55–71.
- Fierer N, Schimel JP, Holden PA (2003) Variations in microbial community composition through two soil depth profiles. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **35**, 167–176.
- Follows MJ, Dutkiewicz S (2011). Modeling diverse communities of marine microbes. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, **3**, 427–451.
- Fontaine S, Barot S, Barre P, Bdioui N, Mary B, Rumpel C (2007) Stability of organic carbon in deep soil layers controlled by fresh carbon supply. *Nature*, **450**, 277–280.
- Frey SD, Lee J, Melillo JM, Six J (2013) The temperature response of soil microbial efficiency and its feedback to climate. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 395–398.
- Gasol JM, Pinhassi J, Alonso-Sáez L, Ducklow H, Herndl GJ, Koblizek M, Labrenz M, Luo Y, Morán XAG, Reinthaler T, Simon M (2008) Towards a better understanding of microbial carbon flux in the sea. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, **53**, 21–38.
- Giardina CP, Ryan MG (2000) Evidence that decomposition rates of organic carbon in mineral soil do not vary with temperature. *Nature*, **404**, 858–861.
- Grandy AS & Neff JC (2008) Molecular C dynamics downstream: The biochemical decomposition sequence and its impact on soil organic matter structure and function. *Science of the Total Environment*, **404**, 297–307.
- Hansell DA (2013) Recalcitrant dissolved organic carbon fractions. *Annual review of Marine Science*, **5**, 421–445.
- Harvey GR, Boran DA, Chesal LA, Tokar JM (1983) The structure of marine fulvic and humic acids. *Marine Chemistry*, **12**, 119–132.
- Heal OW, Anderson JM, Swift MJ (1997) Plant litter quality and decomposition: An historical overview. In: *Driven by nature: Plant litter quality and decomposition* (eds. Cadisch G, Giller KE) PP. 3–30, CAB International.
- Hedges JI (2002) In: *Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter* (eds Hansell, DA, Carlson CA) PP. 1–33 (Academic Press, NY, USA).
- Hedges JI, Keil RG (1995) Sedimentary organic matter preservation: an assessment and speculative synthesis. *Marine Chemistry*, **49**, 81–115.

- Hedges JI, Oades JM (1997) Comparative organic geochemistries of soils and marine sediments. *Organic Geochemistry*, **27**, 319–361.
- Hedges JI, Eglinton G, Hatcher PG, Kirchman DL, Arnosti C, Derenne S, Evershed RP, Kögel-Knabner I, de Leeuw JW, Littke R, Michaelis W, Rullkötter J (2000). The molecularly-uncharacterized component of nonliving organic matter in natural environments. *Organic Geochemistry* **31**, 945– 958.
- Hedges JI, Baldock JA, Gélinas Y, Lee C, Peterson M., Wakeham SG (2001) Evidence for non-selective preservation of organic matter in sinking marine particles. *Nature*, **409**, 801–804.
- Henson SA, Sanders R, Madsen E (2012) Global patterns in efficiency of particulate organic carbon export and transfer to the deep ocean. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **26**, GB1028, doi:10.1029/2011GB004099.
- Hertkorn N, Benner R, Frommberger M, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Witt M, Kaiser K, Kettrup A, Hedges JI (2006) Characterization of a major refractory component of marine dissolved organic matter. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **70**, 2990–3010.
- Herndl GJ, Reinthaler T (2013) Microbial control of the dark end of the biological pump. *Nature Geoscience*, **6**, 718–724.
- Hernes PJ, Benner R (2003) Photochemical and microbial degradation of dissolved lignin phenols: Implications for the fate of terrigenous dissolved organic matter in marine environments. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **108**, C9, 3291, doi:10.1029/2002JC0014121.
- Hiederer R, Köchy M (2011) *Global Soil Organic Carbon Estimates and the Harmonized World Soil Database*. EUR 25225 EN. Publications Office of the European Union.79pp.
- Hilton RG, Galy A, Hovius N, Chen M-C, Horng M-J, Chen H (2008) Tropical-cyclone-driven erosion of the terrestrial biosphere from mountains. *Nature Geoscience*, **1**, 759–762.
- Howarth RW (1993) Microbial processes in salt marsh sediments. In *Aquatic Microbiology: An Ecological Approach*, ed TE Ford (Blackwell Scientific).

- Hsieh YP (1992) Soil organic carbon pools of two tropical soils inferred by carbon signatures. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **60**, 1117–1121.
- Hu Y, Kuhn NJ (2014) Aggregates reduce transport distance of soil organic carbon: are our balances correct? *Biogeosciences*, **11**, 6209–6219.
- Ilyina T, Six KD, Segschneider J, Maier-Reimer E, Li H, Núñez-Riboni I (2013) Global ocean biogeochemistry model HAMOCC: Model architecture and performance as component of the MPI-Earth System model in different CMIP5 experimental realizations. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, **5**, 287–315.
- Ise T, Moorcroft PR (2006) The global-scale temperature and moisture dependencies of soil organic carbon decomposition: an analysis using a mechanistic decomposition model. *Biogeochemistry*, **80**, 217–231.
- Ivars-Martinez E, Martin-Cuadrado A-E, D'Auria G, Mira A, Ferriera S, Johnson J, Friedman R, Rodriguez-Valera R (2008) Comparative genomics of two ecotypes of the marine planktonic copiotroph *Alteromonas macleodii* suggests alternative lifestyles associated with different kinds of particulate organic matter. *The ISME Journal*, **2**, 1194–1212.
- Iversen MH, Ploug H (2013) Temperature effects on carbon-specific respiration rate and sinking velocity of diatom aggregates — potential implications for deep ocean export processes. *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 4073–4085.
- Jiang C-L, Gille ST, Sprintall J, Sweeney C (2014) Drake Passage pCO₂: Evaluating CMIP5 coupled carbon-climate models using in situ observations. *Journal of Climate*, **27**, 76–100.
- Jiao N, Herndl G, Hansell D, Benner R, Kattner G, Wilhelm S, Kirchman D, Weinbauer M, Luo T, Chen F, Azam F (2010) Microbial production of recalcitrant dissolved organic matter: long-term carbon storage in the global ocean. *Nature Review Microbiology*, **8**, 593–599.
- Jobbagy EG, Jackson RB (2000) The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its relation to climate and vegetation. *Ecological Applications*, **10**, 423–436.
- Kaiser K, Benner R (2012) Organic matter transformations in the upper mesopelagic zone of the North Pacific: Chemical composition and linkages to microbial community structure. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **117**, C01023, doi:10.1029/2011JC007141.

- Kattner G, Simon M, Koch B (2011) Molecular characterization of dissolved organic matter and constraints for prokaryotic utilization. In *Microbial Carbon Pump In The Ocean*, eds N Jiao, F Azam, S Sanders, pp 60–61 (American Association for the Advancement of Science, USA).
- Kiem R, Kögel-Knabner I (2003) Contribution of lignin and polysaccharides to the refractory carbon pool in C-depleted arable soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **35**, 101–118.
- King JY, Brandt LA, Adair EC (2012) Shedding light on plant litter decomposition: advances, implications and new directions in understanding the role of photodegradation. *Biogeochemistry*, **111**, 57–81.
- Kleber M (2010) What is recalcitrant soil organic matter? *Environmental Chemistry*, **7**, 320–332.
- Kögel-Knabner I (2002) The macromolecular organic composition of plant and microbial residues as inputs to soil organic matter. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **34**, 139–162.
- Kögel-Knabner I, Deleeuw JW, Hatcher PG (1992) Nature and distribution of alkyl carbon in forest soil profiles – implications for the origin and humification of aliphatic biomacromolecules. *Science of the Total Environment*, **118**, 175–185.
- Kögel-Knabner I, Guggenberger G, Kleber M, Kandeler E, Kalbitz K, Scheu S, Eusterhues K, Leinweber P (2008) Organo-mineral associations in temperate soils: Integrating biology, mineralogy, and organic matter chemistry. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science-Zeitschrift Fur Pflanzenernahrung Und Bodenkunde*, **171**, 61–82.
- Koven CD, Riley WJ, Subin ZM, Tang JY, Torn MS, Collins WD, Bonan GB, Lawrence DM, Swenson SC (2013) The effect of vertically resolved soil biogeochemistry and alternate soil C and N models on C dynamics of CLM4. *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 7109–7131.
- Kriest I, Oschlies A (2008) On the treatment of articulate organic matter sinking in large-scale models of marine biogeochemical cycles. *Biogeosciences*, **5**, 55–72.
- Krinner G, Viovy N, de Nolet-Ducoudré N *et al.* (2005) A dynamic global vegetation model for studies of the the coupled atmosphere-biosphere system. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **19**, GB1015, doi:10.1029/2003GB002199.

- Kostka JE, Gribsholt B, Petrie E, Dalton D, Skelton H, Kristensen E (2002) The rates and pathways of carbon oxidation in bioturbated saltmarsh sediments. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **47**, 230–240.
- Kujawinski EB (2011) The impact of microbial metabolism on marine dissolved organic matter. *Annual Reviews in Marine Science*, **3**, 567–599.
- Kwon EY, Primeau F, Sarmiento JL (2009) The impact of remineralization depth on the air-sea carbon balance. *Nature Geoscience*, **2**, 630–635.
- Laudon H, Buttle J, Carey SK, McDonnell J, McGuire K, Seibert J, Shanley J, Soulsby C, Tetzlaff D (2012) Cross-regional prediction of long-term trajectory of stream water DOC response to climate change. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **39**, L18404, doi:10.1029/2012GL053033.
- Le Quéré C, Peters GP, Andres RJ (2014) Global carbon budget 2013. *Earth System Science Data*, **6**, 235–263.
- Lesser MP (2006) Oxidative stress in marine environments: Biochemistry and physiological ecology. *Annual Review of Physiology*, **68**, 253–278.
- Li C, Frohling S, Harris R (1994) Modeling carbon biogeochemistry in agricultural soils. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **8**, 237–254.
- Lønborg C, Álvarez-Salgado XA (2012) Recycling versus export of bioavailable dissolved organic matter in the coastal ocean and efficiency of the continental shelf pump. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **26**, doi: 10.1029/2012GB004353.
- Ludwig W, Probst J-L, Kempe S (1996) Predicting the oceanic input of organic carbon by continental erosion. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **10**, 23–41.
- Luo, YQ, Randerson JT, Abramowitz G, Bacour C, Blyth E, Carvalhais N, Ciais P, Dalmonech D, Fisher JB, Fisher R, Friedlingstein P, Hibbard K, Hoffman E, Huntzinger D, Jones, CD, Koven C, Lawrence D, Li DJ, Mahecha M, Niu SL, Norby R, Piao SL, Qi X, Peylin P, Prentice IC, Riley W, Reichstein M, Schwalm C, Wang YP, Xia JY, Zaehle S, Zhou XH (2012). A framework for benchmarking land models. *Biogeosciences*, **9**, 3857–3874.

- Maberly SC, Barker PA, Stott AW, De Ville MM (2012) Catchment productivity controls CO₂ emissions from lakes. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 391–394.
- Mahecha MD, Reichstein M, Carvalhais N *et al.* (2010) Global convergence in the temperature sensitivity of respiration at ecosystem level. *Science*, **329**, 838–840.
- Maier-Reimer E, Kriest I, Segschneider J, Wetzell P (2005) The Hamburg Ocean Carbon Cycle Model HAMOCC 5.1 — Technical Description Release 1.1
- Manzoni S, Porporato A (2009). Soil carbon and nitrogen mineralization: Theory and models across scales. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **41**, 1355–1379.
- Marín-Spiotta E, Gruley KE, Crawford J, Atkinson EE, Miesel JR, Greene S, Cardona-Correa C, Spencer RGM (2014) Paradigm shifts in soil organic matter research affect interpretations of aquatic carbon cycling: transcending disciplinary and ecosystem boundaries. *Biogeochemistry*, **117**, 279–297, doi10.1007/s10533-013-9949-7
- Marsay CM, Sanders RJ, Henson SA, Pabortsava K, Achterberg EP, Lampitt RS (2015). Attenuation of sinking particulate organic carbon flux through the mesopelagic ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **112**, 1089–1094.
- Marschner B, Brodowski S, Dreves A *et al.* (2008) How relevant is recalcitrance for the stabilization of organic matter in soils? *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **171**, 91–110.
- Martens DA, Reedy TE, Lewis DT (2004) Soil organic carbon content and composition of 130-year crop, pasture and forest land-use managements. *Global Change Biology*, **10**, 65–78.
- McLeod E, Chmura GL, Bouillon SC, Salm R, Björk M, Duarte CM, Lovelock CE, Schlesinger WH, Silliman BR (2011) A blueprint for blue carbon: toward an improved understanding of the role of vegetated coastal habitats in sequestering CO₂. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **9**, 552–560.
- Monteith DT, Stoddard JL, Evans CD, de Witt HA, Forsius M, Høgåsen T, Wilander A, Skjelkvåle BL, Jeffries DS, Vuorenmaa J, Keller B, Kopáček J, Vesely J (2007) Dissolved organic carbon trends resulting from changes in atmospheric deposition chemistry. *Nature*, **450**, 537–541.

- Moore JK, Doney SC, Kleypas JA, Glover DM, Fung IY (2002) An intermediate complexity marine ecosystem model for the global domain. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **49**, 403–462.
- Moore JK, Doney SC, Glover DM, Fung IY (2002b) Iron cycling and nutrient-limitation patterns in surface waters of the World Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **49**, 463–507.
- Moore JK, Lindsay K, Doney SC, Long MC, Misumi K (2013) Marine ecosystem dynamics and biogeochemical cycling in the Community Earth System Model [CESM1(BGC)]: Comparison of the 1990s with the 2090s under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios. *Journal of Climate*, **26**, 9291–9312.
- Mopper K, Kieber DJ, Stubbins A (2015) Marine photochemistry of organic matter: Processes and Impacts, In *Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter*, 2nd ed, DA Hansell and CA Carlson (eds) pp 389–450 (Elsevier).
- Moran MA, Zepp RG (1997) Role of photoreactions in the formation of biologically labile compounds from dissolved organic matter. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **42**, 1307–1316.
- Nagata, T (2000) Production mechanisms of dissolved organic carbon. In *Microbial Ecology of the Oceans, Volume 1*, DL Kirchman (ed), pp. 121–153 (Wiley-Liss, New York, USA)
- Neff JC, Hobbie SE, Vitousek PM (2000) Controls over dissolved organic C, N and P fluxes and stoichiometry in tropical soils of varying nutrient availability. *Biogeochemistry*, **51**, 283–302.
- Nelson PN, Baldock JA (2005) Estimating the molecular composition of a diverse range of natural organic materials from solid-state ¹³C NMR and elemental analyses. *Biogeochemistry*, **72**, 1–34.
- Obernosterer I, Benner R (2004) Competition between biological and photochemical processes in the mineralization of dissolved organic carbon. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **49**, 117–124.
- Palmer JR, Totterdell IJ (2001) Production and export in a global ocean ecosystem model. *Deep-Sea Research I*, **48**, 1169–1198.

- Parton WJ (1996) The CENTURY model. In: *Evaluation of soil organic matter models using existing long term datasets* (eds Powlson DS, Smith P, Smith JU). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Parton WJ, Schimel DS, Cole CV, Ojima DS (1987) Analysis of factors controlling soil organic-matter levels in Great-Plains grasslands. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **51**, 1173–1179.
- Parton WJ, Scurlock JMO, Ojima DS *et al.* (1993) Observations and modeling of biomass and soil organic matter dynamics for the grassland biome worldwide. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **7**, 783–809.
- Paulmeier A, Kriest I, Oschlies A (2009) Stoichiometries of remineralization and denitrification in global biogeochemical ocean models. *Biogeosciences*, **6**, 923–935.
- Peylin P, Law RM, Gurney KR, Chevallier F, Jacobson AR, Maki T, Niwa Y, Patra PK, Peters W, Rayner PJ, Rödenbeck C, van der Laan-Luijkx IT, Zhang X (2013) Global atmospheric carbon budget: results from an ensemble of atmospheric CO₂ inversions, *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 6699-6720, doi:10.5194/bg-10-6699-2013.
- Randerson JT, Hoffman FM, Thornton PE *et al* (2009) Systematic assessment of terrestrial biogeochemistry in coupled climate-carbon models. *Global Change Biology*, **15**, 2462–2484.
- Raymond PA, Hartmann J, Lauerwald R, Sobek S, McDonald C, Hoover M, Butman D, Striegl R, Mayorga E, Humborg C, Kortelainen P, Dürr H, Meybeck M, Ciais P, Guth P (2013) Global carbon dioxide emissions from inland waters. *Nature*, **503**, 355–359.
- Regnier P, Friedlingstein P, Ciais P, Mackenzie F, Gruber N, Jansens I, Laruelle G, Lauerwald R, Luysart S, Andersson A, Arndt S, Arnosti C, Borges A, Dale A, Gallego-Salla A, Godderis Y, Hartmann J, Heinze C, Ilyina T, Joos F, LaRowe D, Leifeld J, Meysman F, Munhoven G, Raymond P, Spahni R, Suntharalingam P, Thullner M (2013) Anthropogenic perturbation of the carbon fluxes from land to ocean. *Nature Geoscience*, **6**, 597-607.
- Regnier P, Lauerwald R, Ciais P (2014) Carbon leakage through the terrestrial-aquatic interface: Implications for the anthropogenic CO₂ budget. *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science*, **10**, 319–324.

- Richey JE, Melack JM, Aufdenkampe AK, Ballester VM, Hess LL (2002) Outgassing from Amazonian rivers and wetlands as a large tropical source of atmospheric CO₂. *Nature*, **416**, 617–620.
- Robinson C, Steinberg DK, Anderson TR *et al.* (2010) Mesopelagic zone ecology and biogeochemistry — a synthesis. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **57**, 1504–1518.
- Rothman DH, Forney DC (2007). Physical model of the decay and preservation of marine organic carbon. *Science*, **316**, 1325–1328.
- Roulet N, Moore TR (2006) Browning the waters. *Nature*, **444**, 283–284.
- Rozema J, Tosserams M, Nelissen HJM, vanHeerwaarden L, Broekman RA, Flierman N (1997) Stratospheric ozone reduction and ecosystem processes: Enhanced UV-B radiation affects chemical quality and decomposition of leaves of the dune grassland species *Calamagrostis epigeios*. *Plant Ecology*, **128**, 284–294.
- Rutledge S, Campbell DI, Baldocchi D, Schipper LA (2010) Photodegradation leads to increased carbon dioxide losses from terrestrial organic matter. *Global Change Biology*, **16**, 3065–3074.
- Schimmel JP, Weintraub MN (2003) The implications of exoenzyme activity on microbial carbon and nitrogen limitation in soil: a theoretical model. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **35**, 549–563.
- Schmidt MWI, Torn MS, Abiven S *et al.* (2011) Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property. *Nature*, **478**, 49–56.
- Scully NM, Cooper WJ, Tranvik LJ (2003) Photochemical effects on microbial activity in natural waters: the interaction of reactive oxygen species and dissolved organic matter. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, **46**, 353–357.
- Searles PS, Flint SD, Caldwell MM (2001) A meta analysis of plant field studies simulating stratospheric ozone depletion. *Oecologia*, **127**, 1–10.
- Seitzinger SP, Mayorga E, Bouwman AF, Kroeze C, Beusen AHW, Billen G, Van Drecht G, Dumont E, Fekete BM, Garnier J, Harrison JA (2010) Global river nutrient export:

scenario analysis of past and future trends. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **24**, GB0A08, doi:10.1029/2009GB003587.

Sepp RG, Erikson DJ, Paul ND, Sulzberger B (2011) Effects of solar UV radiation and climate change on biogeochemical cycling: interactions and feedbacks. *Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences*, **10**, 261–279.

Sexton PF, Norris RD, Wilson PA, Pälike H, Westerhold T, Röhl U, Bolton CT, Gibbs S (2011) Eocene global warming events driven by ventilation of oceanic dissolved organic carbon. *Nature*, **471**, 349–353.

Six J, Conant RT, Paul EA, Paustian K (2002) Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic matter: Implications for C-saturation of soils. *Plant and Soil*, **241**, 155–176.

Sollins P, Homann P, Caldwell BA (1996) Stabilization and destabilization of soil organic matter: Mechanisms and controls. *Geoderma*, **74**, 65–105.

Sterner RW, Elser JJ (2002) *Ecological stoichiometry: the biology of elements from molecules to the biosphere*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA.

Stockmann U, Adams MA, Crawford JW *et al.* (2013) The knowns, known unknowns and unknowns of sequestration of soil organic carbon. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **164**, 80–99.

Stubbins A, Spencer RGM, Chen H, Hatcher PG, Mopper K, Hernes PJ, Mwamba VL, Mangangu AM, Wabakanghanzi JN, Six J (2010) Illuminated darkness: Molecular signatures of Congo River dissolved organic matter and its photochemical alteration as revealed by ultrahigh precision mass spectrometry. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **55**, 1467–1477.

Taucher J, Oschlies A (2011) Can we predict the direction of marine primary production change under global warming? *Geophysical Research Letters*, **38**, L02603, doi:10.1029/2010GL045934.

Thomas RQ, McKinley GA, Long MC (2013) Examining uncertainties in representations of the carbon cycle in Earth System Models. *EOS Transactions*, **94**, 460.

- Thornton PE, Rodenbloom NA (2005) Ecosystem model spin-up: Estimating steady state conditions in a coupled terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycle model. *Ecological Modelling*, **189**, 24–48.
- Todd-Brown KEO, Randerson JT, Post WM, Hoffman FM, Tarnocai C, Schuur EAG, Allison SD (2013) Causes of variation in soil carbon simulations from CMIP5 Earth system models and comparison with observations, *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 1717–1736.
- Todd-Brown KEO, Randerson JT, Hopkins F, Arora V, Hajima T, Jones C, Shevliakova J, Tjiputra J, Volodin E, Wu T, Zhang Q, Allison SD (2014). Changes in soil organic carbon storage predicted by Earth system models during the 21st century. *Biogeosciences*, **11**, 2341–2356.
- Tranvik LJ, Downing JA, Cotner JB, Loiselle SA, Striegl RG, Ballatore TJ, Dillon P, Finlay K, Fortino K, Knoll LB, Kortelainen PL, Kutser T, Larsen S, Laurion I, Leech DM, Leigh McCallister S, McKnight DM, Melack JM, Overholt E, Porter JA, Prairie Y, Renwick WH, Roland F, Sherman BS, Schindler DW, Sobek S, Tremblay A, Vanni MJ, Verschoor AM, von Wachenfeldt E, Weyhenmeyer GA (2009) Lakes and reservoirs as regulators of carbon cycling and climate. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **54**, 2298–2314.
- Trumbore S (2009) Radiocarbon and soil carbon dynamics. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Science*, **37**, 47–66.
- Vähätalo AV, Aarnos H, Mäntyniemi S (2010) Biodegradability continuum and biodegradation kinetics of natural organic matter described by the beta function distribution. *Biogeochemistry*, **100**, 227–240.
- Vichi M, Pinardi N, Masina S (2007) A generalized model for pelagic biogeochemistry for the global ocean ecosystem. Part I: Theory. *Journal of Marine Systems*, **64**, 89–109.
- von Lutzow M, Kögel-Knabner I, Ekschmitt K, Matzner E, Guggenberger G, Marschner B, Flessa H (2006) Stabilization of organic matter in temperate soils: mechanisms and their relevance under different soil conditions — a review. *European Journal of Soil Science*, **57**, 426–445.

- von Lutzow M, Kögel-Knabner I, Ekschmitt K, Flessa H, Guggenberger G, Matzner E, Marschner B (2007) SOM fractionation methods: Relevance to functional pools and to stabilization mechanisms. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **39**, 2183–2207.
- Von Wachenfeldt ES, Tranvik LJ (2008) Sedimentation in boreal lakes — the role of flocculation of allochthonous dissolved organic matter in the water column. *Ecosystems*, **11**, 803–814.
- Wakeham SG, Lee C, Hedges JI, Hernes PJ, Peterson ML (1997) Molecular indicators of diagenetic status in marine organic matter. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **61**, 5363–5369.
- Weston NB, Vile MA, Neubauer SC, Velinsky DJ (2011) Accelerated microbial organic matter mineralization following salt-water intrusion into tidal freshwater marsh soils. *Biogeochemistry*, **102**, 135–151.
- Weyhenmeyer GA, Fröberg M, Karlton E, Khalili M, Kothawala D, Temnerud J, tranvik LJ (2012) Selective decay of terrestrial organic carbon during transport from land to sea. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 349–355.
- Whitehead K, Emerson SR, Hedges JI (2008) Marine Organic Geochemistry. In: *Chemical Oceanography and the Marine Carbon Cycle* (Emerson SR, Hedges JI). PP. 261–302, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Wieder W, Bonan G, Allison S (2013) Global soil carbon projections are improved by modelling microbial processes. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 909–912.
- Wieder WR, Grandy AS, Kallenbach CM, Bonan GB (2014) Integrating microbial physiology and physio-chemical principals in soils with the Microbial-Mineral Carbon Stabilization (MIMICS) model. *Biogeosciences*, **11**, 3899–3917, doi:10.5149/bg-11-3899-2014.
- Wienhold B (2007) Comparison of laboratory methods and an in situ method for estimating nitrogen mineralization in an irrigated silt-loam soil. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, **38**, 1721–1732.
- Winter C, Hein T, Kavka G, Mach RL, Farnleitner AH (2007) Logitudinal changes in the bacterial community composition of the Danube River: a whole-river approach. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **73**, 421–431.

Xiang S-R, Doyle A, Holden PA, Schimel JP (2008) Drying and wetting effects on C and N mineralization and microbial activity in surface and subsurface California grassland soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **40**, 2281–2289.

Xue LG, Zhang Y, Zhang TG, An LZ, Wang XL (2005) Effects of enhanced ultraviolet-B radiation on algae and cyanobacteria. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, **31**, 79–89.

Yoon B, Raymond PA (2012) Dissolved organic matter export from a forested watershed during Hurricane Irene. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **39**, L18402, doi:10.1029/2012GL052785.

Figure 1: Schematic of the main processes affecting detrital organic matter in soils and the oceans (some symbols courtesy of Integration and Application Network, Univ. of Maryland Center for Environmental Sciences (ian.umces.edu/symbols/)).

Figure 2: Schematic showing the main conduits of organic matter from soils to the open ocean along with the primary processes (in italics) affecting the mineralization of that organic matter.

Table 1

	Net uptake (Pg C y ⁻¹)	Stock (Pg C)
Atmosphere		
Inorganic carbon		744
Land		
Vegetation	0.75 - 1.17	550
Soil inorganic C	--	924 (?)
Soil organic matter	--	1760
Ocean		
Biota	1.73 - 2.33	3
Dissolved inorganic carbon		37800
Dissolved organic carbon		662
Particulate organic carbon		15
Surface sediment organic carbon		575

Table 1: Values of uptake and stock in various organic matter components of terrestrial and marine systems.

Table 2.

	Model	Mineralization Rate	Detrital OC pools	Physical Protection	Heterotrophic microbes/fungi	References
Ocean						
	BEC	Temperature dependent, 2 rates (ballast associated & soft) & O ₂ dependent (lower rate through denitrification in anoxic zones)	DOM (labile & semi-labile) 2 POM classes (ballast associated & soft)	Implicit	Implicit	(1)
	PELAGOS	Temperature dependent (through bacterial growth) & O ₂ dependent	DOM (C,N,P), POM (C,N,P,Si,Fe)	No	1 explicit	(2)
	TOPAZ2	2 rates (ballast associated & soft) & O ₂ dependent (lower rate through denitrification in anoxic zones)	DOM (labile & semi-labile) 2 POM classes (ballast associated & soft)	Yes	Implicit	(3)
	Had-OCC	Constant (shallow &	POM	No	Implicit	(4)

		deep)				
	Pisces	Temperature & O ₂ dependent (same rate but through denitrification in anoxic zones)	Semi-labile DOM Small & large POM	No	Implicit	(5)
	HAMOCC	O ₂ dependent (lower rate through denitrification in anoxic zones)	DOM POM	No	Implicit	(6)
Soil						
	CENTURY	Temperature & moisture dependent	5 litter pools 1 surface microbial pool 3 SOM pools (active, slow and passive) DOC pool	No	Implicit (assumed steady state)	(7,8)
	RothC	Temperature, soil moisture	2 litter pools 2 SOM pools 1 pool inert OM	No	1 explicit	(9)

	Biome-BGC	Temperature, moisture	4 litter, 4 SOM	No	Implicit (assumed steady state)	(10)
	DNDC	Soil texture, nitrogen, soil temperature & moisture	3 litter pools 2 microbial pools 3 SOM pools 1 DOC pool	No	Explicit	(11)
	ORCHIDEE	Temperature, humidity	4 litter 3 soil C	No	Implicit	(12)

Table 2: Comparison of different model formulations used for representing processes affecting detrital OC in terrestrial and marine systems. References: (1) Moore et al., 2002; (2) Vichi et al., 2007; (3) Dunne et al., 2010; (4) Palmer & Totterdell, 2001; (5) Aumont et al., 2003; (6) Ilyina et al., 2013; (7) Parton et al., 1993; (8) Parton et al., 1996; (9) Coleman & Jenkinson, 1999; (10) Thornton & Rodenbloom 2005; (11) Li et al., 1994; (12) Krinner et al., 2005



